

Published on Web 02/21/2006

19-Electron Intermediates in the Ligand Substitution of CpW(CO)₃ with a Lewis Base

James F. Cahoon,[†] Matthias F. Kling,^{†,‡} Karma R. Sawyer,[†] Heinz Frei,[§] and Charles B. Harris^{*,†} Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, Chemical Sciences Division and

Physical Biosciences Division, MS Calvin Laboratory, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720

Received December 5, 2005; E-mail: cbharris@berkeley.edu

Odd-electron species are important intermediates in organometallic chemistry, participating in a variety of catalytic and electrontransfer reactions which produce stable even-electron products.¹⁻³ While electron-deficient 17-electron (17e) radicals have been well characterized, the possible existence of short-lived 19-electron (19e) radicals has been a subject of continuing investigation. 19e radicals have been postulated as intermediates in the photochemical ligand substitution and disproportionation reactions of organometallic dimers containing a single metal-metal bond,⁴⁻⁷ yet the reactions of these intermediates on diffusion-limited time scales (nanosecond to microsecond) have never been directly observed. This study resolves the 19e dynamics in the ligand substitution of 17e radicals $CpW(CO)_3$ ($Cp = C_5H_5$) with the Lewis base P(OMe)_3, providing the first complete description of 19e reactivity.

We recently investigated the ultrafast dynamics of CpW(CO)₃• (**B**) with three Lewis bases PR_3 (R = OMe, Bu, Ph) and directly monitored the formation of 19e radicals CpW(CO)₃PR₃• (C) on a picosecond time scale.8,9 As depicted below, irradiation of [CpW- $(CO)_3]_2$ (A) at visible wavelengths results in metal-metal bond homolysis and formation of two 17e radicals B. Coordination of a strong Lewis base PR₃ with **B** generates 19e radicals **C** that are in an equilibrium with **B**:



Although some 17e and 19e radicals disproportionate by an incage mechanism on a picosecond time scale,^{8,9} the majority of the 19e species C persist beyond 1 ns; the subsequent reactions are resolved in this study.

Figure 1 shows time-resolved transient difference spectra in the CO stretching region on nanosecond (Figure 1a) and microsecond (Figure 1b) time scales for 1.5 mM A and 85 mM P(OMe)₃ in CH₂Cl₂. Irradiation at 532 nm with a Nd:YAG laser generated the radical species in eq 1, and subsequent reactions were probed with step-scan FTIR spectroscopy. Details of the experimental setup have been described elsewhere.¹⁰ Negative absorptions in Figure 1 originate from the bleaching of reactant species, while positive absorptions correspond to products formed after photolysis. Peak assignments are based on literature data^{8,9,11} and density functional theory (DFT) calculations¹² (see the Supporting Information (SI) for details).

On the nanosecond time scale, two strong parent bleaches appear at 1901 and 1955 cm⁻¹ from the *anti*-isomer of A.¹³ A weak product



Figure 1. Time-resolved IR spectra in the CO stretching region on (a) nanosecond and (b) microsecond time scales¹⁴ for 1.5 mM [CpW(CO)₃]₂ and 85 mM P(OMe)₃ in CH₂Cl₂.



Figure 2. Kinetic data and monoexponential fits (solid lines) for CpW- $(CO)_{3}P(OMe)_{3}^{\bullet}(C)$ and $CpW(CO)_{2}P(OMe)_{3}^{\bullet}(D)$ on the nanosecond time scale. Kinetics for **D** are scaled by 0.3 for clarity.

absorption from the gauche-isomer of A is also apparent at 2010 cm⁻¹, indicating that within 25 ns a small portion of the 17e CpW-(CO)₃ radicals **B** have dimerized to regenerate **A**.¹⁵ Peaks from **B** appear at 1880 and 1994 cm⁻¹, while a single peak from the 19e species **C** is visible at 1855 cm⁻¹. The 17e and 19e species (**B**, **C**) are in equilibrium ($K_{\rm eff} \simeq 3.4 \, {\rm M}^{-1}$) and decay concomitantly,¹⁶ with monoexponential fits to C (see Figure 2) and B giving decay times of 277 \pm 18 and 288 \pm 10 ns, respectively.¹⁷ Peaks from the disproportionated products CpW(CO)3⁻ (G) and CpW(CO)3P- $(OMe)_3^+$ (F) are observed at 1775 and 2065 cm⁻¹ and grow in with rise times of 199 \pm 36 and 265 \pm 78 ns, respectively. The intensity of these peaks indicates that the disproportionation yield is low and the reaction is a minor pathway (<20%) at this concentration of P(OMe)₃ (85 mM).¹⁸

University of California and Chemical Sciences Division, LBNL

[‡] Present address: FOM Institute for Atomic and Molecular Physics (AMOLF), Kruislaan 407, 1098 SJ Amsterdam, The Netherlands. [§] Physical Biosciences Division, MS Calvin Laboratory, LBNL.

Surprisingly, in addition to the products from disproportionation, two new peaks labeled **D** grow in at 1815 and 1916 cm⁻¹. Kinetic fits to the data yield rise times of 280 ± 9 (Figure 2) and 274 ± 18 ns, respectively. The correlation of these time constants with the decay of 17e and 19e species in addition to an isosbestic point at 1838 cm⁻¹ indicates that species **D** is formed from the 19e species **C**. On the basis of the kinetics and peak positions, **D** is assigned to the 17e radical CpW(CO)₂P(OMe)₃[•]. DFT calculations predict a shift in CO stretching frequency from **B** to **D** of 60 and 77 cm⁻¹ for the lower and higher frequency modes, respectively, which are in excellent agreement with the experimentally observed shifts of 65 and 78 cm⁻¹ (see SI for details). The major reaction of 19e species CpW(CO)₃P(OMe)₃[•] (**C**) on the nanosecond time scale is thus found to be spontaneous loss of a carbonyl group to form the 17e species **D**.¹⁹

Assuming **B** and **C** are in equilibrium¹⁶ and the only subsequent reaction of these radicals involves formation of **D**, the dynamics of **C** (or **B**) should exhibit a monoexponential decay, as shown in Figure 2, according to the following kinetic equation:

$$[\mathbf{C}] = [\mathbf{C}]_0 \exp\{-k_{\text{diss}}t/[1 + (\mathbf{K}_{\text{eff}}[\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{OMe})_3])^{-1}]\}$$
(2)

where $[\mathbf{C}]_0$ is the initial concentration of \mathbf{C} , K_{eff} is the effective **B**/**C** equilibrium constant,¹⁶ and k_{diss} is the rate constant for CO dissociation from **C**. A time constant of 280 ± 9 ns implies a value of $k_{\text{diss}} = (1.6 \pm 0.3) \times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Using simple transition state theory, the rate constant suggests a barrier of 7.6 ± 0.3 kcal/mol for spontaneous loss of CO from the 19e species $\mathbf{C}^{.20}$

This result emphasizes the difference between even- and oddelectron species; dissociation of a carbonyl from even-electron transition metal complexes typically requires ca. 40 kcal/mol of energy,²¹ yet the process appears to be thermodynamically favorable in the case of this 19e species. The lability of the metal-CO bond in C most likely arises from the population of a metal-to-ligand antibonding orbital by the "19th" electron, which weakens the metal-CO bonds.3 Numerous studies have shown that the ligand substitution reactions of 17e radicals, such as $CpM(CO)_3^{\bullet}$ (M = Cr, W), CpFe(CO)₂, M(CO)₅ (M = Mn, Re), or V(CO)₆, proceed by an associative mechanism,^{1,5,6,22} but this study is the first evidence that a 19e species is an intermediate rather than a transition state in the ligand substitution reaction.23 In contrast to this observation, nanosecond time-resolved studies on the similar dimer [CpFe(CO)₂]₂ monitored the ligand substitution of CpFe(CO)₂• with P(OMe)₃ but found no evidence for a 19e intermediate.²² The intermediates were likely present but too low in concentration to be detected.

On the microsecond time scale (see Figure 1b), the 17e radical **D** decays while new absorptions appear at 1835 and 1868 cm⁻¹ which are assigned to the ligand substitution dimer $[CpW(CO)_2P-(OMe)_3]_2$ (**E**) based on DFT calculations and literature values for the Mo containing analogue of **E**.²⁴ The decay of **D** and the rise of **E** are correlated, as evident by the isosbestic point at 1831 cm⁻¹ in Figure 1b; thus, the only reaction on the microsecond time scale is the dimerization of **D** to form **E**.¹⁴

In summary, the primary reaction of 19e intermediates (C) on diffusion-limited time scales is the spontaneous loss of CO to form ligand substitution products:



This type of reactivity is likely to be typical for 17e/19e radicals in Lewis bases, and experiments are currently in progress to examine the reaction with a variety of transition metal complexes.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Science Foundation (NSF) for funding, and the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences Division, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC02-05CH11231 for the use of some specialized equipment. J.F.C. acknowledges support through an NSF graduate research fellowship, and M.F.K. by the Alexander von Humboldt foundation through a Feodor-Lynen Fellowship.

Supporting Information Available: Complete ref 12, table with observed and calculated DFT frequencies for relevant species, time-resolved spectra in neat CH_2Cl_2 , a description of the kinetic analysis, and a discussion of the peak labeled * in Figure 1b. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) Baird, M. C. Chem. Rev. 1988, 88, 1217-1227 and references therein.
- (2) Astruc, D. Chem. Rev. 1988, 88, 1189-1216.
- (3) Tyler, D. R. Acc. Chem. Res. 1991, 24, 325-331
- (4) Philbin, C. E.; Goldman, A. S.; Tyler, D. R. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 4434–4436.
- (5) Turaki, N. N.; Huggins, J. M. Organometallics 1986, 5, 1703-1706.
- (6) Trogler, W. C. Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 1987, 19, 1025-1047.
- (7) Bitterwolf, T. E. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2001, 211, 235-254
- (8) Kling, M. F.; Cahoon, J. F.; Glascoe, E. A.; Shanoski, J. E.; Harris, C. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 11414–11415.
- (9) Cahoon, J. F.; Kling, M. F.; Schmatz, S.; Harris, C. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12555–12565.
- (10) Sun, H.; Frei, H. J. Phys. Chem. B 1997, 101, 205-209.
- (11) Virrels, I. G.; George, M. W.; Johnson, F. P. A.; Turner, J. J.; Westwell, J. R. Organometallics **1995**, *14*, 5203–5208.
- (12) DFT calculations have been carried out with Gaussian 03, revision B.04 (Frisch et al. Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 2003) applying the B3LYP functional and generic basis sets (LANL2DZ effective core potential for W and 6-31g(d) or 6-31+g(d) basis sets for all other atoms).
- (13) Note that the bleaches for A are not entirely displayed in Figure 1, and that the dynamics of A are due to spectral overlap of B and F with the bleach at 1901 cm⁻¹ and overlap of a second peak from C with the bleach at 1955 cm⁻¹.
- (14) See the SI for a discussion of the peak labeled *, which likely arises from CpW(CO)₂P(OMe)₃⁻ and is formed by electron transfer from C to D.
- (15) In the presence of organic halides, such as CCl₄, Cl atom abstraction by B may occur; however, spectral data in neat CH₂Cl₂ (see SI) indicate that Cl atom abstraction does not occur on the time scale of this experiment.
- (16) Since the concentrations of radical species **B** and **C** are not constant, the two species cannot be in dynamic equilibrium. The reaction quotient Q, however, maintains a constant value over the lifetimes of these radical species so that they appear in quasi-equilibrium. Assuming the same oscillator strength for the peaks at 1885 cm⁻¹ (**C**) and 1994 cm⁻¹ (**B**), an "effective" equilibrium constant can be defined and is found to have a value of $K_{\text{eff}} = 3.4 \pm 0.4 \text{ M}^{-1}$. Further assuming $K_{\text{eff}} \cong K_{\text{eq}}$ and using the thermodynamic relation $\Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln(K_{\text{eq}})$, where ΔG° is the change in standard Gibbs energy, K_{eq} the equilibrium constant, R the ideal gas constant, and T the absolute temperature, the value for K_{eff} gives $\Delta G^\circ = -2.4 \pm 0.3$ kcal/mol. Thus, the 19e species **B**, likely due to an increase in bond order of 0.5 between the two species (see ref 3).
- (17) Errors correspond to 95% confidence intervals.
- (18) See the SI for a further discussion of disproportionation. The mechanism is a subject of continuing investigation in our laboratory.
- (19) Results on the ultrafast time scale (refs 8 and 9) rule out the possibility that **D** is formed directly from **B** since coordination of P(OMe)₃ with **B** was directly observed in these studies and no evidence for species **D** was found.
- (20) Assuming simple transition state theory, $k_{\text{diss}} = (k_{\text{B}}T/h)\exp(-\Delta G^{\ddagger}/k_{\text{B}}T)$, where k_{B} is the Boltzmann constant, *h* Planck's constant, *T* ambient temperature, and ΔG^{\ddagger} the free energy of activation.
- (21) Rosa, A.; Baerends, E. J.; van Gisbergen, S. J. A.; van Lenthe, E.; Groeneveld, J. A.; Snijders, J. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 10356– 10365.
- (22) Dixon, A. J.; Gravelle, S. J.; Vandeburgt, L. J.; Poliakoff, M.; Turner, J. J.; Weitz, E. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 1023–1025.
- (23) Note that concentration-dependent studies would not reveal whether the 19e species is an intermediate or transition state since the same kinetic behavior would be expected in both cases.
- (24) Haines, R. J.; Nolte, C. R. J. Organomet. Chem. **1970**, 24, 725–736.

JA058258I